

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,733	10/01/2001	Taco Van Ieperen	04694.00075	3598	
27160 7590 PATENT ADMINI	12/18/2006 STRATOR	EXAMINER			
KATTEN MUCHIN	N ROSENMAN LLP	NEURAUTER, GEORGE C			
1025 THOMAS JEFFERSON STREET, N.W. EAST LOBBY: SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, D		-2143			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	PIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE	
			DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS 12/18/2006			PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,7	33	IEPEREN, TACO	IEPEREN, TACO VAN			
		Examine	r .	Art Unit				
		George C	. Neurauter, Jr.	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT If NO period for rep Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F S LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN may be available under the provisions of 37 of HS from the mailing date of this communicating by is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA rent, however, may a reply rill expire SIX (6) MONTH blication to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 April 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>								
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-18 and 21-29 is/are pending in above claim(s) is/are winderse allowed is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. 1-18 and 21-29 are subject to reserve the second subject to reserve the	thdrawn from co	nsideration.	ent.				
Application Paper	s							
9)☐ The speci 10)☐ The drawi Applicant i Replacem	fication is objected to by the Exang(s) filed on is/are: a) and any not request that any objection ent drawing sheet(s) including the cordeclaration is objected to by the Example of the cordeclaration is objected to by the Example of the cordeclaration is objected to be cordeclaration.	accepted or by to the drawing(s) loorrection is require	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C	•			
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)		•						
2) Notice of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 esure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date	<b>48</b> )	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 2143

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the public use rejection under 35 USC 102(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection has been withdrawn. See MPEP 706.02(c).

The response to the Examiner's request for information has been reviewed and is considered to be a complete reply. The Examiner interprets the Applicant's response that no information that is readily available.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 and 21-29, drawn to a method and system for creating and managing a shared workspace in a network environment, classified in class 709, subclass 205.
- II. Claims 15-18, drawn to a method for creating a secured shared meeting workspace associated with a scheduled meeting in a network environment, classified in class 709, subclass 229.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2143

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because evidence claim 1 of invention I claims categorizing data stored in said shared workspace. The subcombination has separate utility such as restricting access to the workspace based on network login information associated with participants in a scheduled meeting.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be

Art Unit: 2143

subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/966,733

Art Unit: 2143

the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Neurauter, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-3918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley, can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George C

. Neurauter, Jr. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143